# NEVADA STATE BOARD of DENTAL EXAMINERS

## PUBLIC BOARD MEETING <u>AUGUST 1, 2014</u> 10:30 a.m.

**PUBLIC BOOK** 

#### NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 6010 South Rainbow Boulevard, Ste A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

(Telephone Conference Call)

Telephone conferencing was available at the <u>Board office</u>, 6010 S Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1, in Las Vegas Nevada 89118.

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Thursday, July 3, 2014 6:00 PM

#### DRAFT MINUTES

Please Note: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. *See* NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. *See* NRS 233B.126.

At the discretion of the Chair, public comment is welcomed by the Board, but will be heard only when that item is reached and will be limited to five minutes per person. A public comment time will also be available as the last item on the agenda. The Chair may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his/her sole discretion. Once all items on the agenda are completed the meeting will adjourn.

Asterisks (\*) denote items on which the Board may take action. Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or table.

#### 1. <u>Call to Order, roll call and establish quorum</u>

Dr. Kinard called the meeting to order and Mr. Rigoberto Morales conducted the following role call:

] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ]	Dr. Jade Miller Dr. Gregory Pisani Dr. Byron Blasco Dr. J Gordon Kinard Dr. Timothy Pinther Dr. Jason Champagne Dr. J. Stephen Sill Ms. Theresa Guillen Mrs. Leslea Villigan Ms. Caryn L Solie	PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT PRESENT
	Mrs. Lisa Wark	

Others Present: Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director; Rigoberto Morales, Information Technology
 Coordinator.

3 Public Attendees: No public attendees.

5 2. <u>Public Comment</u>. No public present.

57 58	Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)					
59 60						
61 62	*3 <u>Old Business</u> (For Possible Action)					
63 64 65	*(a) Approval for Four Board Members, Executive Director, and Board Legal Counsel to the American Association of Dental Boards (AADB) for year 2014-2015 (For Possible Action)					
66 67 68 69	Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that this item was tabled at the June 27, 2014 board meeting, to allow time to determine which members would be able to travel to the meetings. The four members to attend the annual meetings: Dr. Miller, Dr. Blasco; Dr. Kinard; and Ms. Solie (tentatively).					
70 71 72	MOTION: Dr. Miller made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Dr. Pinther. All were in favor.					
73 74	* 4. <u>New Business:</u> (For Possible Action)					
75 76	*(a) Approval for Dental Licensure (ADEX) NRS 631.240 (For Possible Action)					
1	(1) David B Jolley, DMD (2) Zachary D Soard, DMD					
	Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; both met the criteria, and recommended approval.					
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MOTION: Dr. Miller made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor; Dr. Pinther abstained.					
7 8 9	*(b) Approval for Dental Licensure (WREB) NRS 631.140 (For Possible Action)					
10 11 12 13	<ol> <li>R Cameron Foulk, DMD</li> <li>Irene S Han, DMD</li> <li>Maen Hijazi, DMD</li> <li>Brian K Tadang, DDS</li> </ol>					
14 15 16	Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; they met the criteria, and recommended approval.					
16 17 18 19	MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor; Dr. Pinther abstained.					
20 21 22 23	*(c) Restricted Geographical Dental License NRS 631.274 (For Possible Action)					
23 24	(1) Carlos E Calderon, DDS					
24 25 26	Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the application; it met the criteria, and recommended approval.					
27 28 29 30	MOTION: Ms. Solie made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor; Dr. Pinther abstained.					
31 32	*(d) Approval for Dental Hygiene Licensure (WREB) NRS 631.300 (For Possible Action)					
33 34	(1) Kayla L Campbell, RDH (2) Caitlyn N Church, RDH					
	July 3, 2014 Public Board Meeting Teleconference Page 2 of 3					

35 36	(3) Ashley E Weise, RDH
37 38	Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; both met the criteria, and recommended approval.
39 40 41 42	MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Sill. All were in favor; Dr. Pinther abstained.
43 44 45 46	MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to remove items (4) (e) and (4) (f) from the agenda. Motion was seconded by Ms. Solie. All were in favor.
47 48	<del>*(e) Consideration of Ancsthesia Evaluators/Inspectors Recommendations of Failure of Site</del> <del>Permit pursuant to NAC 631.2233 (1-and 2) (For Possible Action)</del>
49 50 51	<del>(1) - Dr Z</del>
52 53 54	* <del>(f) Consideration to Grant-a Re-evaluation-of Site from Site Permit-Holder pursuant-to NAC</del> <del>631.2235 (2-and 3) (For Possible Action)</del>
55 56	<del>(1)-Dr Z</del>
50 57 58	5. <u>Public Comment:</u> No public comment.
59 60	Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)
61 62	6. <u>Announcements</u> : No announcements.
63 64	*7. <u>Adjournment</u> (For Possible Action)
65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	MOTION: Dr. Pinther made the motion to adjourn. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor.
73 74	Meeting adjourned at 6:15 p.m.
75 76 77	Respectfully submitted by:
78	Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director

#### NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 6010 South Rainbow Boulevard, Ste A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Videoconferencing was available at the <u>Board office</u>, 6010 S Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1, in Las Vegas and at the <u>Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners located at</u> 1105 Terminal Way, Suite 301, Reno, NV 89502

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Friday, June 27, 2014 9:09 a.m.

#### **DRAFT MINUTES**

Please Note: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. *See* NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. *See* NRS 233B.126.

At the discretion of the Chair, public comment is welcomed by the Board, but will be heard only when that item is reached and will be limited to five minutes per person. A public comment time will also be available as the last item on the agenda. The Chair may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his/her sole discretion. Once all items on the agenda are completed the meeting will adjourn.

Asterisks (\*) denote items on which the Board may take action. Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or table.

1. Call to Order, roll call and establish quorum

Dr. Kinard called the meeting to order and Ms. Shaffer conducted the following role call:

Dr. Jade Miller	PRESENT
Dr. Gregory Pisani	PRESENT
Dr. Byron Blasco	PRESENT
Dr. J Gordon Kinard	PRESENT
Dr. Timothy Pinther	PRESENT
Dr. Jason Champagne	PRESENT
Dr. J. Stephen Sill	PRESENT
Mrs. Theresa Guillen	PRESENT
Mrs. Leslea Villigan	PRESENT
Mrs. Caryn L Solie	PRESENT
Mrs. Lisa Wark	PRESENT

8 Others Present: John Hunt, Board Legal Counsel; Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director; Stacie Hummel, NSBDE
 9 Accountant, Hummel & Associates.

Public Attendees: Annette Lincicome, NDHA; John F. Mack, DDS; Jennifer Cha; Anthony Gabriel; Kristopher Rath,
Counsel for Dr. Kenneth Hill; Bryce S. Putnam, DMD, NV Health Centers; Shari Peterson, CSN/NDHA; Greg
Lousignont, State Licensed Private Investigator; Ian Houston, Counsel for Dr. Toogood; Alex Tanchek, on behalf of
Neena Laxalt, for the NDHA; Joanna Jacob, Ferrari Public Affairs, NDA; Caleb Cage, Office of the Governor.

#### 2. Public Comment: None

61

65

67

68

69

70 71

72 73

74

75 76

77 78

79

80 81

82

83

84

85 86 87

88 89

90

91

92 93

94

106 107

108

56 57 58 Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has 59 been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020) 60 62 \*3. Executive Director's Report (For Possible Action) 63 64 \*a. <u>Minutes-NRS 631.190</u> (For Possible Action) 66 (1) Public Meeting - 04/25/2014 (2) Budget and Finance Resource Group Meeting – 04/25/2014 (3) Closed Session Minutes 04/25/2014 - (Confidential) (4) Public Meeting - 05/21/2014 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that the draft minutes were in the board books for their review, and revisions/approval. MOTION: Ms. Solie made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion. \*b. Financials-NRS 631.180 (For Possible Action) (1) Review Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Balances for period ending April 30, 2014 (For Possible Action) Mrs. Hummel noted to the Board that they their actual budget as of April was nearing the set budget for the current fiscal year. She commented to them that the figures in brackets represented under budget items. She indicated that she projects that they will be able to add about one hundred and thirty thousand dollars (\$130,000) to the Boards reserves. \*c. <u>Budget-NRS 631.180</u> (For Possible Action) (1) Consideration of the Recommendations from the Budget and Finance Committee Meeting Held on April 25, 2014 with regards to the FY2015 Draft Budget (For Possible Action) Mrs. Solie asked Mrs. Hummel to clarify line 68 in FY2015 regarding the difference of approximately 6,000 dollars. Mrs. Hummel indicated that in the new fiscal year they appropriated funds to be allocated for another board

95 member to attend the annual conference, therefore allowing for four members to attend versus three members. Mrs. 96 Solie noted that the scanning services increased significantly in the proposed budget. Mrs. Hummel clarified that 97 last fiscal year the Board had to drop the scanning project because they were over budget. Furthermore, that they 98 needed to finish the project, thus, they have projected those costs in the upcoming fiscal budget. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel 99 indicated that there is a 90-day agreement with the scanning company to complete the project; furthermore, the 100 company will be working in-house. She noted to the Board that there are certain state standards that have to be met 101 and they are an approved state vendor. 102

103 MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to approve the recommendations. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. 104 All were in favor of the motion. 105

(2) Review, Discussion and Approval of FY2015 Draft Proposed Budget (For Possible Action)

109 MOTION: Dr. Miller made the motion to approve proposed budget. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Solie. All 110 were in favor of the motion.

124

125 126

127 128

129

135

136 137

138

139

152

154 155

156

161

162 163

164

- \*d. Contracts: NRS 631.160 (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Annual Review of Executive Director's Employment Contract (For Possible Action)

Mr. Hunt indicated that the Board may want, or can go into a closed session.

MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to approve to extend the contract for twelve (12) months. The motion was
 seconded by Dr. Blasco. Discussion: Dr. Kinard commented that Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel has done an incredible job and
 has enjoyed working with her and all of the staff. He acknowledged all of the accomplishments, especially with the
 budget. All were in favor of the motion.

JH: Board can also approve a bonus, if the Board was so inclined to do that.

- \*e. Authorized Investigative Complaints-NRS 631.360 (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Dr Z-NRS 631.215(2(h) and (3); NRS 631.3465 (2 and 3); NRS 631.395(10) and NAC 631.275

130 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations.131

MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the
 motion.

- \*f. <u>Committee & Associations-NRS 631.190(For Possible Action)</u>
  - (1) Approval for Four Board Members, Executive Director, and Board Legal Counsel to the American Association of Dental Boards (AADB) for year 2014-2015 (For Possible Action)

140 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that the AADB travel for last year were limited to (three) 3 board members, this year 141 they budgeted to be able to increase it to 4 members. The current set dates for the next meetings are October 7-11. 142 2014 and April 2015 in Chicago. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel commented to the Board that she needs to know how many 143 members, and which members would like to go so that she may pay the annual membership for the year. Mrs. 144 Villigan commented to the Board that she learned recently of a boot camp in January on infection control, and 145 suggested that possibly they could select (two) 2 committee members and the most experienced evaluator. Dr. Miller requested that he be a part of the members selected. Members interested in attending: Dr. Miller and Mrs. 146 147 Solie. It was decided to table this item for the July 3<sup>rd</sup> teleconference. 148

MOTION: Mrs. Solie made the motion to table this item until the July 3<sup>rd</sup> teleconference. The motion was seconded
 by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion.

- 153 \*4. <u>Board Counsel's Report</u> (For Possible Action)
  - \*a. Legal Actions/Lawsuit(s) Update (For Possible Action)

Board Counsel indicated that there were no new lawsuits since 2012. He commented that they he sought injunctive
relief against an individual posing as a dental hygienist. He noted to the Board that Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel and he
appeared at the LCB session and that they approved all of the Board's regulation changes.

- \*b. Consideration of Stipulation Agreements (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Young K Dill, DMD

165 Board Counsel went over the proposed stipulation agreement. On behalf of DSO recommends approval.

167 168 169	MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.
170 171	(2) Adam Lousignont, DMD
172 173 174	Board Counsel went over the proposed amendment of the previously approved disciplinary stipulation agreement. On behalf of DSO recommends approval.
175 176 177	MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Dr. Sill. All were in favor of the motion.
178 179	(3) Kayla Mai, DDS
180 181	Board Counsel went over the proposed stipulation agreement. On behalf of DSO recommends approval.
182 183	MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion.
184 185	(4) Kenneth Hill, DDS
186 187 188	Board Counsel went over the proposed stipulation agreement. On behalf of DSO recommends approval.
189 190 191 192	MOTION: Dr. Pinther made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. Discussion: Dr. Miller inquired if the management company had also agreed to amend the errors in question. Mr. Hunt indicated that there is no mechanism to hold the management company partially responsible without the process of holding a hearing and allowing the Board to submit a complaint directly to the Secretary of State. All were in favor of the motion.
193 194	(5) Gary Toogood, DDS
195 196 197 198	Board Counsel went over the proposed stipulation agreement. On behalf of DSO recommends approval. Counsel for Dr. Toogood was present.
198 199 200 201	MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion.
202 203 204	*c. Request to Amend the Stipulation Agreement(s) pertaining to the reimbursement of investigative and monitoring cost payments and their due dates:
205 206	(1) John Mack, DDS
207 208 209 210	Board Counsel indicated that Dr. Mack is asking for additional time to submit the reimbursement payments. Dr. Mack approached the table. Board Counsel continued to explain the breakdown that Mr. Mack is seeking. All other provisions are to remain in full affect.
211 212 213	MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve to amend the prior stipulation agreement to adopt the amendments as presented by Mr. Hunt and that all other provisions to remain in effect. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion.
214 215 216 217 218 219	(2) Adam Lousignont, DMD
	Dr. Lousignont was present for the meeting and approached the table. He went over the amendments. All other provisions are to remain in full affect.
220 221 222	MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to approve the amendments for the payment schedule and all other provisions to remain in full affect. The motion was seconded by Dr. Pinther. All were in favor of the motion.

\*5. <u>New Business</u> (For Possible Action)

223

224 225

226

227 228 229

230 231

232

250

254

255

256 257

258

MOTION: Dr. Miller made the motion to go out of order to (5) (b). The motion was seconded by Mrs. Guillen. All were in favor of the motion.

- \*b. Overview and Discussion with Director of Military and Veterans Policy Office of the Governor (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Caleb S Cage

Mr. Cage approached table to address the Board. Mr. Caleb thanked the Board for their time. He indicated that a
memorandum was provided to the office staff recently and to the public (attached for the record). He commented
that they received a grant last year to increase avenues of entry to be able to produce better employment for veterans
based on their experience and skills. He noted that a bill is to be presented to introduce license by reciprocity for
military, which will not deter the standards by which one may qualify for licensure in the state.

239 Board Counsel indicated to Mr. Cage that the Board is currently seeking a BDR for the Spouses of Military 240 personnel. Furthermore, that the Governors' executive order covers the spouses of military personnel. He suggested, 241 perhaps, the Governor could issue an executive order under NRS 629 for all military personnel to all health care 242 licensing Boards for those whom have been providing care in the military. Mr. Hunt commented that they could 243 seek a BDR to include returning veterans. Dr. Miller commented to Mr. Cage that it would have to be up to the 244 licensing boards to start creating new pathways for the military veterans to obtain licensure upon returning from 245 service. Mr. Cage indicated that they would want the language to include the implementation of his proposal. 246 Additionally, that he appreciates the Boards willingness to be accommodating. Mr. Hunt noted that from a legal 247 stand point, the initial language could be produced from the Governor's office, in case the Board is not able to obtain 248 a BDR. 249

MOTION: Mrs. Villigan made the motion to return to agenda order (5)(a). Motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All
were in favor.

- \*a. Request for an Advisory Opinion regarding Informed Consent for Minors pursuant to NAC 631.2237 and NAC 631.230 -NAC 631.279 (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Tyree Davis, DDS, Dental Director-Nevada Health Centers

259 Dr. Bryce Putnam was present, on the behalf of Dr. Davis, to present the request for the advisory opinion. Dr. 260 Putnam explained that they have a unique practice as they are a federally qualified health facility. Also, that they 261 have a mobile bus they utilize to go to the rural areas to see patients under the age of 18. Some of these patients are 262 brought by relatives (i.e. brothers, sisters, aunts, uncles, etc.) which unless it is an emergency they require parental 263 consent before providing treatment. (Information provided for the record and can be found in the public materials 264 book). He added that there was nothing concrete regarding the consent and from whom they can seek consent from 265 prior to providing treatment. He inquired if based on the document provided, would it be valid to grant the dentists 266 permission without them risking their ability to practice and to avoid potential penalty. 267

Board Counsel indicated that they must have informed consent from the parent or legal guardian. Unless, however,
in the event of an emergency. Dr. Putnam inquired if phone/verbal consent would be valid. Board Counsel noted to
Dr. Putnam that the regulation states that if a patient is a minor the consent must be from the parent or guardian;
the regulation, however, does not require that it be in writing. Board Counsel noted that the Board cannot approve
what has been presented, but rather will provide what they interpret the statute to read.

MOTION: Board Counsel indicated that it is the Board's opinion that informed consent as set forth in 631.2237,
either verbal or written consent without the necessity of a notary is acceptable. Dr. Blasco approved Board
Counsel's statement for the motion. The motion was seconded by Dr. Pinther. All were in favor of the motion.

278 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to take a Recess: 10:37. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. Return: 10:50 am

\*c. Appointment of "Representative" to monitor decedent's (Yahia Ismail, DMD) dental practice-NRS 631.385 and NAC 631.273 (For Possible Action)

(1) Bradley Strong, DDS

279

280

281 282

283

297

298

299 300

301

313

314 315

316

317

318

319

325

326

1

284 Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated to the Board that Dr. Ismails' wife, on or about May 27th contacted the board that Dr. 285 Ismail passed away. Board Counsel commented that they would like to appoint a representative to ensure that the 286 office is running in compliance. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted that this scenario is different because Dr. Ismail currently 287 has a stipulation agreement. Board Counsel stated an attorney contacted him that he represented Mrs. Ismail. 288 However, the board has not received notice from Mrs. Ismail of her intent to run the practice since Dr. Ismail's 289 passing; however, Dr. Ismail has a current/outstanding stipulation agreement. He noted that Dr. Strong is the 290 original DSO and monitors the practice per the stipulation agreement. He commented to the Board that this 291 appointment would grant him the authority to monitor the entire practice and not just the areas of concern as 292 addressed in the stipulation agreement. 293

MOTION: Ms. Solie made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the
 motion.

- \*d. Request for six (6) month extension for reactivation of specialty dental license-NRS 631.250 (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Jennifer Cha, DMD

Dr. Cha was present and approached the table. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel reminded the Board that they entertained a
motion to reactivate her specialty license with an additional request to extend that approval to not take effect until
June 2014. She noted that in May she sent Dr. Cha a letter to remind her that effective June 1<sup>st</sup> her specialty license
would be activated and her general dental license would expire. Dr. Cha indicated that she had some issues with one
full mouth restoration and the patient only wanted to be treated by her. Board Counsel indicated that the specialty
license has already been activated and she will have to resolve the issue of her patients. Mrs. Villigan suggested
having those patients travel to California to be treated under her general dental license.

MOTION: Dr. Pisani made the motion to reject the request. Motion was seconded by Dr. Miller. All were in favor of
 the motion.

\*e. Approval for Dental Licensure by ADEX – NRS 631.240(1)(b)(1) (For Possible Action)

- (1) Scott A Chavez DMD
- (2) Brian P Mantor DMD
- (3) Nicholas G Ruana DMD
- (4) Trevor H Smith DMD

320 Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; they met the criteria, and recommended approval. 321

MOTION: Mrs. Villigan made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the
 motion; Dr. Pinther abstained.

\*f. Approval for Dental Licensure by WREB - NRS 631.240(1)(b)(2) (For Possible Action)

- (1) Erin A Anderson DMD
- (2) Deepa M Assandas DMD
- (3) Julia J Baek DMD
- (4) Russell R Cannon Jr DMD
- (5) Jacqueline S Delaney DMD
- (6) Anthony P Gabriel DMD

- (7) Hans P Gray DDS
- (8) Jeffrey W Higbee DMD
- (9) Lily Hoang DMD
- (10) Allison E Tomlin DMD
- (11) Keaton M Tomlin DMD
- (12) Naman Zia Ebrahimi DMD

2 Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; they met the criteria, and recommended approval.

4 5 6 7 8 motion; Dr. Pinther abstained. \*g. Approval for Specialty License by Application - NRS 631.250 (For Possible Action) 9 (1) Tenny Balabegian DDS – Orthodontics 10 (2) Giri Chelian DDS - Orthodontics 11 (3) Giridhara K Chittivelu DMD - Orthodontics 12 (4) Brian P Mantor DMD – Periodontics 13 (5) Travis M Sorensen DDS - Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery 14 15 Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; they met the criteria, and recommended approval. 16 17 MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Sill. All were in favor of the 18 motion; Dr. Pinther abstained. 19 20 21 \*h. Approval of Limited License for Post-Graduate Residency Program (Dental) – NRS 631.271 22 (Pending 90-Day Completion) (For Possible Action) 23 (1) Erin Ma DMD (6) Andrew J Vaughn DMD (2) Mackenzie A Porter DMD (7) Duc-Vinh Vo DDS (3) Erica D Robinson DMD (8) NamThien Q Vu DDS (4) Jeong H Seo DMD (9) Richard B Witty Jr DMD (5) Byron H Tam DDS (10) Jessica K Wu DDS 1 2 3 4 Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; they met the criteria, and recommended approval. MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Villigan. All were in favor of the 5 6 motion; Dr. Pinther abstained. 7 8 \*i. Approval of Limited License for Supervision of Live Continuing Education Course – 9 NRS 631.2715 (For Possible Action) 10 11 (1) Mandy L Holley DDS – LVI Global 12 13 Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the application; it met the criteria, and recommended approval. 14 15 MOTION: Dr. Sill made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the motion; 16 Dr. Pinther abstained. 17 18 19 \*j. Approval for Dental Hygiene Licensure by ADEX - NRS 631.300(1)(b)(1) (For Possible Action) 20 21 (1) Felise A Scrivener RDH 22 23 Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the application; it met the criteria, and recommended approval. 24 25 MOTION: Dr. Blasco made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the 26 motion; Dr. Pinther abstained. 27 28

3

MOTION: Ms. Solie made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Guillen. All were in favor of the

#### \*k. Approval for Dental Hygiene Licensure by WREB - NRS 631.300(1)(b)(2) (For Possible Action)

- (1) Ashley K Bresciani RDH
- (2) Christine B Camama RDH
- (3) Stacia M Dimmitt RDH
- (4) Brooke A Grubmueller RDH
- (5) Kimberly M Hart RDH
- (6) Kelsey M Hawkes RDH

- (7) Jessica E Hemmingsen RDH
  (8) Hillarie A Jones RDH
  (9) Whitney R Lewis RDH
  (10)Ryan Palmer RDH
  (11) Brooke M Smith RDH
- (12)Amanda E Tippetts RDH

Dr. Pinther indicated that he reviewed the applications; they met the criteria, and recommended approval.

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Pinther abstained.

\*1. Approval of Voluntary Surrender of License - NAC 631.160 (For Possible Action)

- (1) Ali Alviri DDS
- (2) Jeffrey R Spillers DDS
- (3) Dana L Atkinson RDH
- (4) Margaret G Henley RDH
- (5) Susan R Horvitz RDH
- (6) Susan A Lavell RDH

- (7) Helene Marret RDH
- (8) Linda J Mills RDH
- (9) Lynne F Nielsen RDH
- (10) Wendy L Perry RDH
- (11) Jill M Schwieters-Kelly RDH
- (12) Linda J Simpson RDH

MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to approve. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Villigan. All were in favor of the motion.

\*m. Reactivation of dental hygiene license - NAC 631.170(3) (For Possible Action)

(1) Chi Pang Wu RDH

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that Ms. Wu has held an inactive license since 2012, and has not practiced.

MOTION: Mrs. Villigan made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the motion.

\*n. Approval of Public Health Endorsement - NRS 631.287 (For Possible Action)

(1) Ngoc B Kelsch, RDH - Huntridge Teen Clinic - Teen Scene Saturdays

MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Ms. Solie. All were in favor of the motion.

\*o. Approval for Anesthesia-Temporary Permit - NAC 631.2254 (For Possible Action)

\*(1) Conscious Sedation

- (a) Erik J Smith, DMD
- (b) Brian P Mantor, DMD
- (c) Brittany Wilson, DDS

Dr. Miller recommended approval.

MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. All were in favor of the motion; Dr. Miller abstained.

1234567

8

33	*p. Approval for Anesthesia-Permanent Permit – NAC 631.2233 (For Possible Action)
34	
35	*(1) Conscious Sedation
36	
37	(a) Christopher Lamb, DMD
38	(b) Kyung M Kim, DDS
	(b) Kyung M Kini, DD3
39	
40	Dr. Miller recommended approval.
41	
42	MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the
43	motion; Miller abstained.
44	
45	*q. Approval for a 90-Day Extension of Anesthesia Permit – NAC 631.2254(2)
46	(For Possible Action)
47	(1 of 1 ossible Action)
48	$*(1)$ Council for all $(\tau, \tau)$ all $(\tau, t)$
	*(1) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)
49	
50	(a) Travis Sorensen, DDS
51	
52	*(2) Conscious Sedation (For Possible Action)
53	
54	(a) Bibiana Ezeanolue, DMD
55	(a) Biolana Ezcanorac, DMD
56	Villey recommon dad en years of item (1) and (2)
	Miller recommended approval of item (1) and (2).
57	
58	MOTION: Mrs. Villigan made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pisani. All were in favor of the
59	motion. Miller abstained
60	
61	
62	*r. Approval for travel to the OSAP June 2014 Meeting, Minnesota (retroactive)
63	(For Possible Action)
64	(r of r ossible Action)
65	(1) Leales William DDU
	(1) Leslea Villigan, RDH
66	
67	MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to approve. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor of the
68	motion.
69	
70	
71	*s. Report from Timothy Pinther, DDS, Secretary-Treasurer regarding the North East Regional
72	Board June Meeting in Maryland (For Possible Action)
73	Board June Weeting in Warytand (For Possible Action)
<u>74</u>	Dr. Pinther indicated that he attended the NERB meeting in Maryland. He briefly went over some of the changes that
75	will be made to the exam. He indicated that a new profile is to be filled out by the board members.
76	
77	MOTION: Ms. Guillen made the motion to accept the report. Motion was seconded by Mrs. Wark. All were in favor
78	of the motion.
79	
80	
81	*6 Decourse Crown Demoste
	*6. <u>Resource Group Reports</u>
82	
83	*a. <u>Legislative and Dental Practice</u> (For Possible Action)
84	(Chair: Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Kinard; Mrs. Guillen, Mrs. Wark)
85	<b>/</b>
86	No report
87	1

88	*b. <u>Legal and Disciplinary Action</u> (For Possible Action)
89	(Chair: Dr. Kinard; Dr. Pisani; Dr. Sill; Dr. Blasco; Mrs. Villigan; Mrs. Wark)
90	
91	Dr. Kinard indicated to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel that the NDA is updating their database, and will be providing her with an
92	updated list of their membership
93	
94	*c. <u>Examinations Liaisons</u> (For Possible Action)
95 06	
96 97	*(1) WREB <u>Representatives</u> (For Possible Action)
98	(Dr. Blasco and Mrs. Solie)
99	(a) Penert from the Western Degional Examining Deard (DEDR) Meeting
00	(a) Report from the Western Regional Examining Board (DERB) Meeting
01	Dr. Blasco's report from the DERB MEETING (February 21, 2014 – Phoenix, Arizona)
02	DI. Blasco's report from the DERB MEETING (rebruary 21, 2014 – Filoenix, Arizona)
03	WREB exam administered at 65 schools
00	•38 states accept WREB – no Crown & Bridge
05	•43 states accept NERB – no Perio
06	•University of Utah still deciding
07	•Pearson Vue is now going to administer the written portion of the WREB exam
08	
09	•3-4 month window to take the written portion / results of WREB available in 72 hrs
10	•Overall – 2013 82% of the candidates taking the WREB exam passed 1st time
11	•3% never pass CTP – need all Board members to participate (October - January)
12	- 20 graders/weekend x 9 weekends
13	20 graders/ weekend x 9 weekends
14	From the ADA Rep:
15	ADA - \$12,000,000
16	<ul> <li>Designed to go back to members as a benefit (for member success) – but exact allocation is ambiguous</li> </ul>
17	<ul> <li>Spending the funds to defer the cost of education &amp; for access to care programs hasn't been successful.</li> </ul>
18	<ul> <li>FTC is in favor of Corporate Dentistry</li> </ul>
• •	
19	The fastest growing segment of the population is the 19 & under year old age group & for the 1st time since the ADA
19 20	The fastest growing segment of the population is the 19 & under year old age group & for the 1st time since the ADA has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing
19 20	The fastest growing segment of the population is the 19 & under year old age group & for the 1st time since the ADA has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing
20	has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing
20 21	has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined
20 21 22	has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined New Mexico – entertained the concept of establishing a dental school – but it died in committee
20 21 22 23	has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined
20 21 22 23 24	has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined New Mexico – entertained the concept of establishing a dental school – but it died in committee - Per NM legislation – they accept all exams
20 21 22 23 24 25	has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined New Mexico – entertained the concept of establishing a dental school – but it died in committee - Per NM legislation – they accept all exams Texas – their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> <li>North Dakota - fighting against "Dental Therapists". Lobbyists are targeting the weak, the new &amp; the inexperienced</li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> <li>North Dakota - fighting against "Dental Therapists". Lobbyists are targeting the weak, the new &amp; the inexperienced legislators</li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> <li>North Dakota - fighting against "Dental Therapists". Lobbyists are targeting the weak, the new &amp; the inexperienced</li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> <li>North Dakota - fighting against "Dental Therapists". Lobbyists are targeting the weak, the new &amp; the inexperienced legislators</li> <li>North Dakota would like assistance with their Anesthesia Guidelines.</li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> <li>North Dakota - fighting against "Dental Therapists". Lobbyists are targeting the weak, the new &amp; the inexperienced legislators</li> </ul>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<ul> <li>has been keeping stats, the 40-60 year old population is decreasing</li> <li>Applications to dental schools plateaued 2 years ago, and last year the applicant number declined</li> <li>New Mexico - entertained the concept of establishing a dental school - but it died in committee <ul> <li>Per NM legislation - they accept all exams</li> </ul> </li> <li>Texas - their annual board budget has risen from 3 to \$6,000,000 <ul> <li>15,000 dentists, 800 - 1000 complaints to be investigated annually</li> <li>400 violations of Standard of Care</li> <li>They have hired a Dental Director to review files (full time job)</li> </ul> </li> <li>North Dakota - fighting against "Dental Therapists". Lobbyists are targeting the weak, the new &amp; the inexperienced legislators</li> <li>North Dakota would like assistance with their Anesthesia Guidelines.</li> </ul>

\_

- The Kellogg Foundation & the Pew Foundation have been involved in Ohio & New Mexico pushing to fund a 1 ½ year
   program for Mid-level Providers arguing there is a difference between "access to care" & "wanting access to care"
- 36 California for the first time, a public member of the board is president
- 37 Their board is to consist of 15 members. 8 doctors, 1 RDH, 1 RDA, 5 public members
- 38 The board has not been filled with the 15 members for years39

40 DERB meeting indicated that they published their minutes from their last meeting. Once thing that changed that in 41 endo, they now accept 1.5mm past the apex. Now accepted in 38 states and WREB now accepted in 41 states. They 42 are constantly coordinating states to accept/offer the WREB exam. Pearson view is to be administering the written 43 portion for seniors to take in their final year. An applicant will know if they passed prior to them cleaning their 44 operatory. (11:35) New Mexico accepts all exams offered in the US.

#### \*(2) ADEX <u>Representatives</u> (For Possible Action) (Dr. Kinard)

Dr. Kinard indicated that his report was as Dr. Pinther stated from his trip.

\*d. <u>Continuing Education</u> (For Possible Action) (Chair: Dr. Sill; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Pisani; Mrs. Villigan; Ms. Solie)

Dr. Sill indicated that they had a meeting earlier that morning and that they will be making a recommendation to the Board regarding dental hygiene the local anesthesia courses reviewed and discussed.

\*e. <u>Committee of Dental Hygiene</u> (For Possible Action) (Chair: Mrs. Guillen; Mrs. Villigan, Ms. Solie; Dr. Sill)

No report

46

47

48 49

50 51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59 60

61 62

63

64 65

66 67

68

69

74

75

76

81

82

83 84

85

\*f. <u>Specialty</u> (For Possible Action) (Chair: Dr. Pisani; Dr. Miller; Dr. Pinther)

No report

\*g. <u>Anesthesia</u> (For Possible Action) (Chair: Dr. Miller; Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne, Dr. Kinard)

Dr. Miller indicated that there was a request from a provider regarding the pediatric sedation regulations and the
 Board's consideration of possibly reviewing them. He went over some of the requirements that are currently in place
 in thirteen (13) different states regarding monitoring for CO2, and moderate conscious sedation for pediatric patients.

\*h. <u>Infection Control</u> (For Possible Action) (Chair: Mrs. Villigan; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Pisani; Ms. Solie; Mrs. Wark)

Mrs. Villigan indicated that they were trying to gather a committee meeting for August 1<sup>st</sup>. The meeting will be to
 review the inspection form and do some house cleaning. She indicated that during her trip to the OSAP meeting, she
 received positive feedback on the Board's auditing process.

\*i. <u>Budget and Finance Committee</u> (For Possible Action) (Chair: Dr. Sill, Dr. Pinther, Mrs. Wark, Mrs. Guillen)

No report.

) }	Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself here been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)
2	9. Announcements: Dr. Champagne commented to the Board of a potential conflict; he indicated that in the
3	potential future he will be hiring a management service, and therefore, in the future he will abstain from any voting
-	regarding management agreements.
5	Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel announced to the Board members that the dental hygiene renewal portal will close at I
) 7	p.m. on Monday, June 30 <sup>th</sup> . She indicated to the Board that she will be on vacation next week, and that she has gor over everything that the Board may have questions on related with the Board with Rigo. And that they may contac
\$	him. Furthermore, that Rigo will be at the office to conduct the July 3 <sup>rd</sup> teleconference.
	She reminded the Board members that the next official board meeting is Friday, August 1 <sup>st</sup> .
	8,,,8
	*10. <u>Adjournment</u> (For Possible Action)
	MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Dr. Pinther. All were in favor of the motion.
	Meeting adjourned at 11:50am
	Respectfully submitted by:
	Respectfully submitted by:

#### NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 6010 South Rainbow Boulevard, Ste A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Videoconferencing was available at the <u>Board office</u>, 6010 S Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1, in Las Vegas and at the <u>Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners located at 1105</u> Terminal Way, Suite 301, Reno, NV 89502

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

Friday June 27, 2014 8: 03 a.m.

#### CONTINUING EDUCATION RESOURCE GROUP

(Chair; Dr. J Stephen Sill, Dr. Byron Blasco, Dr. Gregory Pisani, Leslea Villigan, RDH and Caryn Solie, RDH)

#### DRAFT MINUTES

Please Note: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. *See* NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. *See* NRS 233B.126.

At the discretion of the Chair, public comment is welcomed by the Board, but will be heard only when that item is reached and will be limited to five minutes per person. A public comment time will also be available as the last item on the agenda. The Chair may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his/her sole discretion. Once all items on the agenda are completed the meeting will adjourn.

Asterisks (\*) denote items on which the Board may take action. Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or table.

1. <u>Call to Order, roll call and establish quorum</u>

Dr. Sill called the meeting to order and Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel conducted the following roll call:

Dr. J Stephen Sill ------ Present Dr. Byron Blasco ------ Present Dr. Gregory Pisani ------ Present Mrs. Leslea Villigan ----- Present Ms. Caryn Solie ----- Present

Others present: John A. Hunt, Board Legal Counsel; Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director.

Public attendees: Anne Lincicome, NDHA; Sharon Peterson, CSN/NDHA; Alex Tanchek, on behalf of Neena Laxalt for the NDHA; Joanna Jacob with Ferrari Public Affairs; Bryce Putnam, Nevada Health Centers; Ian Houston, Counsel for Dr. Toogood.

2. <u>Public Comment</u>. Shari here as a resource to answer any questions they may have.

Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

\* 3. Review and Discussion regarding the development of standards and criteria for post graduate dental hygiene local anesthesia and nitrous oxide Board courses (For Possible Action)

(a) Review approved post graduate local anesthesia courses for dental hygiene

(b) Review approved post graduate nitrous oxide courses for dental hygiene

(c) Discussion for the development of standards and criteria for the Continuing Education Committee when approving post graduate dental hygiene local anesthesia and nitrous oxide courses

Dr. Blasco began the meeting indicating that they do not have any protocol set in place on what criteria they can use 62 63 to guide them on approving local anesthesia and nitrous oxide courses. He indicated that in the last year they have 64 accepted LA & N2O courses that were taken post-graduate, but they had no protocol to guide them. He indicated that the problem is that they cannot deny someone's course if they don't have a curriculum to go by. There is 65 66 discussion on the mechanisms that the College of Southern Nevada (CSN) teaches which is what Truckee Meadows 67 Community College (TMCC) bases their curriculum on. Dr. Blasco inquired on the requirements that must be met for 68 the WREB and ADEX exams that enable a candidate fit to administer at the exam. He suggested, perhaps, following 69 the same criteria as the examination agencies.

Mrs. Peterson approached the committee and offered any information relevant to how the courses are taught,
 and discussed the writers behind the text books used at CSN when teaching their anesthesia and nitrous oxide
 courses.

Dr. Blasco's concern was the ability to find or create criteria for those licensees who have completed a postgraduate course. He indicated that he wants to be sure that whichever post-graduate course is completed by a person for a permit, is a sufficient course for local anesthesia or nitrous oxide. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel commented that they could draft language for the minimum requirements and send them to each school/entity where applicant took the course to see if the course curriculum meets the Boards' minimum requirements. She further stated, that the Board could always set what requirements must be met in regulation.

79 Mrs. Solie commented to the committee that they should focus on the criteria they will require and what they 80 will accept as the basic curriculum to approve a course. Dr. Blasco suggested perhaps looking at the criteria that 81 WREB and ADEX uses to allow for candidates to sit for their anesthesia exam and/or administer during their clinical 82 exam. Mrs. Peterson explained that ADEX only allows students to administer anesthesia for the exam. WREB, she 83 further explained, offers and administers a didactic clinical exam for anesthesia. Dr. Sill indicated that one solution 84 could be that the Board begins to accept the WREB anesthesia exam. Dr. Blasco indicated that they could review the 85 WREB anesthesia exam guidelines, and potentially consider adopting it. Mr. Hunt noted to the committee that the 86 Board could change the regulation to adopt the set standard from WREBs Anesthesia exam and they could use that to 87 base their approvals on. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel indicated that the committee could review the standards of WREB and 88 the other criteria from the schools to base their requirements. Dr. Sill commented that for now, the committee could 89 work on obtaining the WREB guidelines and, potentially, adding them into the regulation. Further, for the time being 90 have Dr. Blasco use the WREB requirements as a guideline. Mrs. Peterson indicated that she will provide Mrs. 91 Shaffer-Kugel with the WREB anesthesia guidelines. Dr. Blasco indicated that they will be recommending the WREB 92 anesthesia guidelines to the Board that these will be the temporary standard guidelines until the regulation can be 93 changed. 94

95 4. <u>Public Comment:</u> No comments were made.96

97 Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has
98 been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

99 5. <u>Announcements:</u> No announcements. 100

- 101 \*6. <u>Adjournment</u> (For Possible Action) 102
- MOTION: Mrs. Villigan made the motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Dr. Blasco. . All were in favor of the
   motion.
- 106 Meeting adjourned at 8:51 a.m.
- 107108 Respectfully submitted by:
- 109 110
- 111 Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director
- 112

59 60

61

NRS 631.220 Filing of application for license.

1. Every applicant for a license to practice dental hygiene or dentistry, or any of its special branches, must.

(a) File an application with the Board at least 45 days before.

(1) The date on which the examination will be given; or

(2) If an examination is not required for the issuance of a license, the date on which the Board is scheduled to take action on the application.

(b) Accompany the application with a recent photograph of the applicant together with the required fee and such other documentation as the Board may require by regulation.

(c) Submit with the application a complete set of fingerprints and written permission authorizing the Board to forward the fingerprints to the Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History for submission to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for its report.

2. An application must include all information required to complete the application.

Date: June 30, 2014

Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. 1 Las Vegas, NV 89118

Dear Nevada State Board of Dentistry,

I, Gregory Greenwood, am writing this letter petitioning to appear before the board on the August 1, 2014 meeting, in person. I would like an opportunity to explain and detail events that led to the signing of a consent decree in December 2013 with the Louisiana State Board of Dentistry. Currently, I am practicing dentistry in the state of Louisiana, and my license is active and in good standing. I desire to activate my Nevada dental license and move to Nevada. I attended UNLV dental school, lived in Las Vegas for 8 years, and own a home in Nevada.

I wish to briefly detail the events that led to the consent decree on my Louisiana dental license in December 2013. I was performing IV conscious sedation in 5 different dental offices, and due to lack of adequate help, the entries in my sedation drug log were transposed and logged incorrectly. This was discovered during the annual inspection by the state board investigator. In addition, during the inspection, it was discovered that I did not fill out the proper DEA import/export form to provide medications during the dental mission trips that I attend (<u>www.cc.identist.com</u>).

I have since made the necessary adjustments in the way I practice IV sedation to comply with all state, DEA, and federal laws.

Sincerely,

Gry W. Lund, DND, M

Gregory W. Greenwood, DMD, PharmD, MaCSD



*	-			
$\bigcirc$	I	I BEFORE THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS		
	2 3	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,		
	4	Complainant,	STIPULATION AGREEMENT CASE NO. 08-01598	
	5 6	VS.		
	7	GREGORY W. GREENWOOD, DMD		
	8 9	Respondent.		
	10	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between GREGORY	
	11	GREENWOOD, DMD (hereafter "Respondent"), i	in proper person, and the NEVADA STATE	
	12 13	BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS (hereafter "Board"), by and through the Board's legal		
.() 14		coursel JOHN & HINT ESO, of the law firm of Fox Rothschild LLP do hereby stipulate and		
	15			
	16 17		tted an application for licensure pursuant to	
	18	NRS 631.240 to practice dentistry in the State of N	evada.	
	19 20			
	21	2. On January 29, 2008, Respondent received		
	22	licensure pursuant to NAC 631.050(2)(b) was rejective the surplication considered at a memory structure to the surplication of		
	23 24	have the application considered at a properly notice	N.S.B.D.E.	
	2 <del>4</del> 25		SEP 02 2008	
	26			
	27 28	D 1	<u>GWG</u> GWG	
Fox Rothschild LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Park Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169		Page 1 o	0 10	

.

.

Č, )	1	3. On March 13, 2008, Respondent appeared before the Board for consideration of the
	2	application for a license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada.
	3	
	4	
	5	4. On August 22, 2008, Respondent pursuant to NAC 631.050(3), petitioned the Board to
	6	have his application considered for a license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada.
	7	
	8	5. Respondent in order to be granted a license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada
	9 10	has agreed to the following terms and conditions:
	11	
	12	A. Respondent's dental license shall be monitored for a period of eighteen (18)
	13	period of inactive practice. Respondent's eighteen (18) month monitoring period
$\langle \rangle$	14	Personadant shall notify the Deard in writing with in three (2) Incidence I and (1)
	15	the Executive Director and/or an agent appointed by the Executive Director of the
	16	Board to inspect Respondent's records without notice during normal business hours to insure compliance of this Stipulation Agreement.
	17	B. During the eighteen (18) month monitoring period, Respondent agrees to submit
-	18	to random sampling of urine, hair and/or bodily fluids for non prescribe controlled substances when so ordered by the Executive Director of the Board. Respondent
shall be responsible for the costs incurred for the analysis of		shall be responsible for the costs incurred for the analysis of urine, hair and/or bodily fluids.
	20 21	
	22	drug testing within six (6) hours of being served with an ordered from the
	23	Executive Director of the Board, the Executive Director without any further hearing or action by the Board, shall issue an Order of Suspension
	24	of Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Respondent may petition the Board to reinstate Respondent's suspended
	25	license. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent
	26	
$\langle \rangle$	27	N.S.B.D.E. <u>6Wb</u> GWG
Fox Rothschild LLP	28	Page 2 of 8 SEP 0 2 2008 GWG
3800 Howard Hughes Parkw Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169		RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08

ŧ

.

 $\bigcirc$ 

÷

Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period in which his license is suspended. Respondent waives any right to seek judicial review to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a final Board hearing to determine whether to reinstate Respondent's suspended license.

In the event, any test or analysis of bodily fluids taken from Respondent test positive, indicating the presence of a controlled substance (not pursuant to a valid prescription), the Executive Director of the Board, without any further hearing or action by the Board, shall issue an Order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Respondent may petition the Board to reinstate Respondent's suspended license. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period in which his license is suspended. Respondent waives any right to seek judicial review to reinstate his privilege to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada pending a final Board hearing to determine whether to reinstate Respondent's suspended license.

iii. Respondent agrees to submit to the Board on the first business day of each month during the eighteen (18) month monitoring period a written log of all patients who have receive a prescription for more than 12 units of a controlled substance for each treatment visit. The log should include the following information:

1. patient's name 2. date of issuance

## N.S.B.D.E.

GWC

- units and amount of controlled substance issued; and SEP 0.2 2008 3.
- treatment rendered to patient 4.

Failure to submit the written logs by the first business day of each month will result in the issuance of an Order suspending Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada by the Executive Director of the Board, without any further hearing or action by the Board. When Respondent has submitted the required logs and is otherwise compliant with the terms and conditions of the Stipulation Agreement, the Executive Director of the Board, without any further hearing or action by the Board will issue an Order reinstating Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada,

C. Respondent, agrees to reimburse the "Board" for the cost of the monitoring of this

Page 3 of 8

Fox Rothschild LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ii.

RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08

Stipulation Agreement in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars. This amount shall be delivered to the "Board" within thirty (30) days of adoption of this Stipulation Agreement by the Board.

D. In the event Respondent defaults on any of the payments set forth in Paragraph C, Respondent agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall automatically be suspended without any further action of the Board other than issuance of an Order of Suspension by the Executive Director. Respondent agrees to pay a liquidated damage amount of Twenty Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent is in default on the payment(s) of any of the amounts set forth in paragraph upon the issuance of the Order of Suspension. In addition to the liquidated damage amount of Twenty Five Dollars (\$25.00) for each day Respondent is in default on the payment(s) of any of the amounts set forth in Paragraph C, Respondent pursuant to NAC 631.029 shall pay a reinstatement fee of two hundred (\$200.00) dollars. Upon curing the default of the applicable defaulted paragraph, Respondent's license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada will automatically be reinstated by the Executor Director of the Board. assuming there are no other violations of any of the provisions contained in this Stipulation. Respondent shall also be responsible for any costs or attorney's fees incurred in the event the Board has to seek injunctive relief to prevent Respondent from practicing dentistry during the period in which his license is suspended. Respondent agrees to waive any right to seek injunctive relief from either the Nevada Federal District Court or the Nevada State District Court to reinstate his license prior to curing any default on the amounts due and owing.

E. In the event, Respondent fails to cure any defaults in payment within forty-five (45) days of the default, Respondent agrees the amount may be reduced to judgment.

F. Respondent waives any right to have the amount owed pursuant to Paragraph 6 discharged in bankruptcy.

#### CONSENT

Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation Agreement and

agrees with them in their entirety.

N.S.B.D.E. SEP 02 2008

> <u>600 6</u> GWG

Fox Rothschild LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 6.

23

24

25

26

27

28

RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08

Page 4 of 8

7. 1 Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation Agreement he is waiving certain 2 valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and 3 NAC 233B.

8. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding 6 7 whether or not to adopt this Stipulation Agreement in the event this matter was to proceed to a 8 N.S.B.D. full Board hearing. SEP 02 2008 9

10

4

5

9. Respondent and the Board agree any statements and/or documentation made or 11 considered by the Board during any properly noticed open meeting to determine whether to 12 13 adopt or reject this Stipulation Agreement are privileged settlement negotiations and therefore 14 such statements or documentation may not be used in any subsequent Board hearing or judicial 15 review, whether or not judicial review is sought in either the State or Federal District Court. 16

17

26

27

28

18 10. Respondent acknowledges he has read the Stipulation. Respondent acknowledges he has 19 been advised he has the right to have this matter reviewed by independent counsel and he has 20 had ample opportunity to seek independent counsel. Respondent has been specifically informed 21he should seek independent counsel and advice of independent counsel would be in 22 Respondent's best interest. Having been advised of his right to independent counsel, as well as 23 24 had the opportunity to seek independent counsel, Respondent hereby acknowledges, by his own 25 free will, he is consenting to the Stipulation without independent counsel.

Rothschild LLP 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 500 as Vegas Nevada 89169

RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08

Page 5 of 8

6WA

GWG

GWG

2

6

Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation Agreement voluntarily,
 without coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.

Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in
 this Stipulation Agreement have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person
 affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.

12 13. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation Agreement contain the entire
 agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be
 modified, in writing, with Board approval.

16

21

27

28

15

11

17 14. Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation Agreement he hereby
18 waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity

of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.

N.S.B.D.E. SEP 02 2008

Respondent and the Board agree none of the parties shall be deemed the drafter of this
 Stipulation Agreement. In the event this Stipulation Agreement is construed by a court of law or
 equity, such court shall not construe this Stipulation Agreement or any provision hereof against
 any party as the drafter of the Stipulation Agreement. The parties hereby acknowledge all parties

Fox Rothschild LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 500

egas, Nevada 8916

RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08

Page 6 of 8

ant

have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation Agreement.

16. Respondent specifically acknowledges by his signature herein and by his initials at the bottom of each page of this Stipulation Agreement, he has read and understands its terms and acknowledges he has signed and initialed of his own free will and without undue influence, coercion, duress, or intimidation.

9
17. Respondent acknowledges in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation Agreement, this
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17. Respondent acknowledges in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation Agreement, this
18
19
10
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
10
10
10
11
12
12
12
14
15
16
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
10
11
12
12
14
15
16
17
18
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10

14 18. This Stipulation Agreement will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is 15 understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation Agreement and, if 16 the Stipulation Agreement is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be 17 implemented. This Stipulation Agreement will only become effective when the Board has 18 19 approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation Agreement, such 20 adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become a public 21 record. 22 23

Fox Rothschild LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08

III

III

24

25

26

27

28

Page 7 of 8

N.S.B.D.E.

SEP 02 2008

661

GWG

DATED this 22 day of AUSUST, 2008. 1 bremelims 2 3 GREGORY GREENWOOD, DMD Respondent 5 APPRǿ∜ ED TO FORM COMTENT 6 JOHN A. HUNT, ESQUIRE Fox Rothschild, LLP. 8 Board Counsel 9 10 This foregoing Stipulation Agreement was: 11 Approved Disapproved 12 by a vote of the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners at a properly noticed meeting. 13 DATED this 22 day of AUGUST, 2008. 14 15 16 WILLIA S DD RESIDENT 17 NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 18 19 20 21 22 23 N.S.B.D.E. 24 SEP 02 2008 25 26 27 GWG 28 Page 8 of 8 Fox Rothschild LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 500 RROSS 0v4777 08/20/08 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169



## Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg, A. Ste, 1 Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046



## **APPLICATION TO REACTIVATE AN INACTIVE / RETIRED LICENSE**

Name	bregery	Walter	Greenwood

Current Phone

Complete Mailing Address

I. (pregory Warth Greenwood , wish to reactivate my inactive Dental Dental Hygiene (circle one) license number 5 62.9, which was placed on inactive/retired status on . I certify (choose one below):

I have maintained an active license and practice (active license and working) outside the state of Nevada during the period my Nevada license has been inactive;

**Requirements for reactivation are:** 

- 1. Payment of the reactivation fee of \$200 in addition to the current active license fees. You will need to contact the Board office for confirmation of the correct fees to pay; (00.00 Provide a list of employment during the time the Nevada license was inactive;
- 2
- 3. Submit proof of current CPR certification (online certification is NOT acceptable);
- 4. Submit proof of completion of continuing education credits as follows (courses must be completed within the previous 12 months); a. If reactivating between July 1st and December 31st of the current renewal year, 10 credits for dentists (a minimum of 7 MUST be live-instruction) / 7.5 credits for hygienists (a minimum of 5.25 MUST be live-instruction);
  - b. If reactivating between January 1st and June 30th of the current renewal year, 20 credits for dentists (a minimum of 14 MUST be live-instruction) / 15 credits for hygienists (a minimum of 12 MUST be live-instruction);
- Provide a current self query report from the National Practitioners Data Bank; 5.
- Provide certification from each jurisdiction in which you currently hold a license (expired, inactive, retired, etc.) to practice dentistry or 6. dental hygiene, that the license is in good standing and that no proceedings which may affect that standing are pending;
- 7. Provide letters of recommendation from two (2) licensed dentists;

I have not maintained an active license and practice (no active license and not working) for one or more years outside the state of Nevada during the period my Nevada license has been inactive or retired;

**Requirements for reactivation are:** 

<sub>ği</sub> 3

- 1. For licenses on inactive/retired status for less than 2 years:
  - a. Complete items (1) through (5) above.
- 2. For licenses on inactive/retired status for 2 years or more:
  - a. Complete items (1) through (5) above;
  - b. Pass such additional examinations for licensure as the Board may prescribe.

I certify that during the period of through (the period my license was inactive/retired), I had filing(s) or service or claim(s) or complaint(s) of malpractice or disciplinary action(s) either in the State of Nevada or any jurisdiction outside the State of Nevada. FULL DISCLOSURE OF EACH SUCH CASE MUST BE ENCLOSED WITH THIS **REACTIVATION APPLICATION.** 

I authorize and empower the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners or its agent to contact any person, firm, service, agency, or the like to obtain information deemed necessary or desirable by the Board to verify any information contained in my application to reactivate my inactive/retired license based upon this affidavit. I acknowledge I have a continuing responsibility to update all information contained in this application until such time as the Board takes action on this application. Failure of an applicant to update the information prior to final action of the Board is grounds for subsequent disciplinary action.

SIGNATURE OF LICENSEE	N. G. MMM DATE 7/31/13	
SUBSCRIBED TO AND SWORN BEFORE ME,	this 31 st day of July, 20/3	<u>.</u>
SEAL	Olivio D. Totte	-
	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR SAID COUNTY AND STATE	
		Rev 0







July 22, 2014



Debra Shaffer-Kugel Executive Director Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners 6010 South Rainbow Boulevard Building A, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Dear Ms. Shaffer-Kugel,

On behalf of the staff and Board of Trustees of The Huntridge Teen Clinic, I am writing to express our sincere thanks for your donation in the amount of \$50,000 for our Teen Dental Program.

This year The Huntridge Teen Clinic celebrates twenty years of providing free or nominal-cost medical and dental care and counseling to disadvantaged teens. Our goal is to keep these kids in school by removing impediments to academic success, such as dental pain and untreated disease. Most of today's teens will someday be employed in our community. We believe the work we're doing will make them better, more dependable and more productive employees.

We recently upgraded our web site. Please visit us at <u>huntridge.org</u> to meet the staff and volunteers in our clinic who do so much to help disadvantaged teens. I am also enclosing a brief description of the Teen Dental Program.

We are dedicated to improving the lives of kids in need, both for them and for the future of our community. Thank you for supporting us in that mission and thanks again for the generous donation.

Best regards.

Steve Williams Executive Director

Debra, Please convey our Deep appreciation to the Board members. Thanks!

## The Huntridge Teen Clinic

The teenage years are the most memorable years of our lives, but they can also be the most stressful. For teens from families in underserved areas with meager financial resources and no insurance, the stress is often compounded by untreated medical and dental needs and a lack of credible information. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has consistently reported that medical issues are among the leading reasons why kids fall behind in school, and ultimately drop out. Specifically mentioned is chronic dental pain. The staff and Board of Trustees of The Huntridge Teen Clinic are determined to help the underprivileged youth of our community as they grapple with these adult issues. Our goal is to ensure that underprivileged youth have an equally fair chance at academic and career success.

#### **Mission Statement**

The mission of The Huntridge Teen Clinic is to provide free or nominal-cost medical and dental care and counseling to uninsured, underserved and at-risk teenagers who are ineligible or unable to obtain care otherwise.

#### History

The Huntridge Teen Clinic is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation established in October 1994 initially as a medical clinic. The dental clinic was added in 1998. The two clinics serve 2,500 teens per year. These are kids from families with meager financial resources, no insurance and few options. Seventyeight percent are minority children and 93% live at or below the federal poverty level. They are among the most vulnerable people in our society. In most cases, The Huntridge Teen Clinic represents their only hope for the basic medical and dental care and counseling that most people take for granted.

Criteria for acceptance in either the medical or dental clinic is that the patient must be between the ages of twelve and eighteen, except the Clinic will continue seeing an existing patient through age nineteen.

#### **Teen Dental Program**

The dental clinic has four chairs in fully-equipped dental operatories. A dental hygienist is employed by the Clinic who has been granted a Public Health Endorsement by the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.

For fillings, extractions, root canals and other restorative procedures requiring a licensed dentist, the Clinic relies on a pool of volunteers from the community. These individuals unselfishly donate their precious time and skills to serve teens at the Clinic, or occasionally at their place of business. To augment our volunteer dentist program, the Clinic in 2009 entered into an Affiliation Agreement with UNLV School of Dental Medicine under which UNLV students and faculty spend one day per week at the Clinic providing restorative care to our teens. This affiliation has proven so popular with students The dental clinic charges \$20 per visit and a \$55 lab fee if crowns are required. Even the modest fees are often waived. There are no other charges for dental services.

24016819

#### Huntridge Homeless Adult Project

a,

In 2008 The Huntridge Teen Clinic, in collaboration with UNLV School of Dental Medicine, began providing restorative dental care to homeless adults. Although treating adults is a departure from our mission statement, we accepted this challenge because our clinic is unique: We have the facility, the equipment and an existing pool of volunteer dentists in place to do the work.

Oral health for homeless adults is more than a humanitarian issue. We believe that many, if not most, of the unemployed homeless would work if they could interview successfully. But interview success is near impossible if one's teeth are missing or unsightly. Many homeless people will not even go on interviews because of embarrassment over unsightly teeth, resulting in hundreds of potentially productive employees being excluded from meaningful employment. The cost to our community is substantial, through the increased utilization of social services and unemployment benefits.

The Homeless Adult Project is funded separately from the Teen Medical and Dental Programs. Appointments occur on Saturdays only, so as not to interfere with the Teen Program, and are arranged through a network of referring partners including Salvation Army, HELP of Southern Nevada, Las Vegas Rescue Mission and several area churches. For hundreds of homeless people in our community, the Project represents an opportunity to break the cycle of poverty and dependence.

The waiting list is an indication of the need for dental services among homeless adults in our community. It is currently seven months long.

#### **Corporate Governance**

The Huntridge Teen Clinic is governed by a Board of Trustees consisting of nine community leaders who (1) are involved in medicine or dentistry, (2) work with adolescents as counselors, educators or mentors or (3) offer an expertise critical to the Clinic's success. The Board meets ten times annually.

The Huntridge Teen Clinic 2100 South Maryland Parkway, No. 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104-3225

Phone: 702-732-8776 Fax: 702-369-2162

Steve Williams, Executive Director Phone: 702-575-0640

E-mail: <u>huntridge@lvcoxmail.com</u> Web Site: <u>www.huntridge.org</u>

Federal Tax Exemption: 88-0329221



July 10, 2014

Adopt a Vet Dental Program

Debra Shaffer, Executive Director Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite A1 Las Vegas, NV 89118

Dear Debra:

Thank you so much for selecting the Adopt a Vet Dental Program (AAVD) to receive a donation of \$50,000 on June 26, 2014, resulting from your corrective action stipulation. Needless to say, we are very grateful to receive these funds which will allow us to continue helping our low-income veterans with dental care that truly saves and transforms their lives.

The number of veterans enrolled at our Reno VA has increased from 38,000 last October to 56,000 this year so far and only about 5% of them will qualify for dental care. So the demand for dental care through AAVD has also increased as we continue to help low-income veterans who simply cannot afford to see a dentist. Although we have 110 dentists and specialists that have donated over \$1,179,300 in dental care services, AAVD is responsible for all the dental lab costs for dentures, partials, and crowns. Our dental labs donate when they can but because of the quantity of veterans now going through our program, AAVD must pay for the dental lab services at negotiated costs. Also, AAVD pays a dentist at Medicaid prices or the HAWC Clinic at discount prices for emergency tooth extractions (if we cannot get it donated) when a veteran is faced with a critical medical situation from abscesses and infection. AAVD is pleased to tell you that over 385 low-income veterans have received either full-service and/or emergency dental care. However, our waiting list for veterans requiring full-service dental care is now up to 312 veterans and the wait time is approaching 3 years for dental care so our need for more dentists and funding continues to grow. The funds you have provided will make an enormous impact in helping so many more veterans with dental care.

This May marked the fourth year in operation for AAVD. In June 2013, we merged with the Northern Nevada Dental Health Program for continued stability and growth and we are excited to be a part of this incredible organization. Our "grassroots" program has been successful because of the generosity and caring dental community while the necessary funding has been provided by private donations and grants. Unfortunately, we receive no funding from the State or Federal Government (including the VA) yet 99% of our referrals come from the VA.

Thank you again for making a huge positive impact on our program!

Sincerely,

Rindu Haigh

Linda J. Haigh, Founder Adopt a Vet Dental Program

775.870.4358 | 775.870.4658 Fax | 5250 Neil Road, Suite 103, Reno, NV 89502 info@AdoptaVetDental.com | www.AdoptaVetDental.com



Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. 1 Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046

## **VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF LICENSE**

STATE OF COUNTY OF

I, <u>An</u>	gela	Baker	-	_, hereby surrende	r my Neva	da
Dental /Dent	al Hygiene	(circle one) lie	cense number _	101013 on_		day of
Mar		, 20 <u>14</u> .				

By signing this document, I understand, pursuant to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 631.160, the surrender of this license is absolute and irrevocable. Additionally, I understand that the voluntary surrender of this license does not preclude the Board from hearing a complaint for disciplinary action filed against this licensee.

on this. day of 22.4  $m_{2}$ , 20 $^{1}$ before me personally appeared 13 malaca Licensee Signature and proved on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) (is/are) subscribed to this instrument, and acknowledged Ndhe/she/ihey) executed the sam Date **KELLIE RICCARDI** Notary Public . State of Utah Notary Signature Commission # 675839 My Commission Expires March 29, 2018 Licensee Current Mailing Address: al. QUN Home Phone Cell Phone: 6171819-JUN 2014 NSEDE 02/2013 221110168L

In the County of

State or U.G.



Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. 1 Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046

## **VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF LICENSE**

STATE OF COUNTY OF Collin

I. 🛛 , hereby surrender my Nevada on 23rd Dental (Dental Hygiene) (circle one) license number 390 7 day of June ,2014.

By signing this document, I understand, pursuant to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 631.160, the surrender of this license is absolute and irrevocable. Additionally, I understand that the voluntary surrender of this license does not preclude the Board from hearing a complaint for disciplinary action filed against this licensee.

Licensee Signature

Date

enc

N X vc. N人 Notary Signature

MICHELLE MIDDLEKA Notary Public TATE OF TEXAS My Comm, Exp. 08-29-17

deplot 6

4600 Licensee Current Mailing Address: 503 24252622 Coll Phone: Home Phone 1181920-



#### Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners

6010 S. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. A, Ste. 1 Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046

## VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF LICENSE

STATE OF California noramen COUNTY OF borah Jean Duchanan, hereby surrender my Nevada Dental /Dental Hygiene (circle one) license number 4205 on  $27^{m}$ day of UNE 2014

By signing this document, I understand, pursuant to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 631.160, the surrender of this license is absolute and irrevocable. Additionally, I understand that the voluntary surrender of this license does not preclude the Board from hearing a complaint for disciplinary action filed against this licensee.

Licensee Signature Notary Seal Юaí Notary Signature RAMENTO COUNTY M. EXPIRES MAY 3, 201 38 JONGUI Licensee Current Mailing Address: Home Phone Cell Phone: and carrow design margareties to the particulation of the program. the and the set of the second of the second en a ser a ser a seguer e 91SLULELT



## **VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF LICENSE**

Neudda STATE OF COUNTY OF 16 Sandra , hereby surrender my Nevada Dental (Dental Hygiene) (circle one) license number \_\_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 28<sup>+L</sup> day of \_, 20<u>14</u>. 101

By signing this document, I understand, pursuant to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 631.160, the surrender of this license is absolute and irrevocable. Additionally, I understand that the voluntary surrender of this license does not preclude the Board from hearing a complaint for disciplinary action filed against this licensee.

Shirley McKennery Notary Public State of Nevada Licensee Signature v Commission Expires: 05-23-16 Certificate No: 93-3973-1 ふちつ State of Nevada Date County of Subscribed and sworn before me on St 8005 Licensee Current Mailing Address: 4 S 92 9 Home Phone Cell Phone: 14151672 JUN 2014 0168L99